REMARKS

Upon entry of the present amendment, claims 3 and 6-7 will remain pending in the

Docket No.: 2185-0765PUS1

above-identified application and stand ready for further action on the merits.

The instant amendment does not incorporate new matter into the application as originally

filed. The amendment cancels claims 1-2 and 4-5, while incorporating the limitations of

cancelled claim 1 into pending claim 3, so that claim 3 is now written in an independent format.

The instant amendment to the claims does not raise any substantial new issues for the

Examiner's consideration and at the same time serves to limit the claimed invention to a scope

that has previously been indicated by the USPTO to be allowable (see remarks below). As such,

entry of the instant amendment is respectfully requested at present, as is favorable action on the

merits.

Allowable Subject Matter

At page 5, lines 1-3 of the office action, the USPTO remarks as follows:

Claims 3, 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

In the instant amendment claim 3 has been amended to an independent format (by

incorporating limitations from claim 1), while claim 6 remains dependent on claim 3, and claim

7 remains dependent on claim 6. Because of the amendment of claim 3, it is submitted that

4 JWB/enm

instant claims 3, 6 and 7 are now in condition for allowance. This conclusion is of course

supported by the above statement taken from page 5, lines 1-3 of the office action.

Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103(a)

Claims 1-2 and 4-5 have been rejected under the provisions of 35 USC § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over US 5,837,791 to Sagane et al., alone or in view of the evidence provided by Oi

et al. reconsideration and withdraw of this rejection is respectfully requested based on the

cancellation of claims 1-2 and 4-5 herein.

CONCLUSION

Based on the amendments and remarks presented herein, the Examiner is respectfully

requested to issue a notice of allowance in the matter of the instant application, clearly indicating

that each of pending claims 3, 6 and 7 are allowed and patentable under the provisions of title 35

of the United States Code.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact John W. Bailey (Reg. No. 32,881)

at the telephone number below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in

connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

5 JWB/enm Application No. 10/540,512 Amendment dated January 19, 2007 After Final Office Action of October 19, 2006

Dated: January 19, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By // John W/Bailey

Registration No.: 32,881

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 2185-0765PUS1

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

6 JWB/enm